Kautilya's Theory on State

Dr Kshama Sharma, Associate Professor

Department of Political Sciences Ramlal Anand College, Delhi University

Abstract

Kautilya also known as Chanakya is one of the most famous political thinkers in ancient India, author of the *Mahabharata*. The work of the 4th century BCE is one of the most extensive guides to state management and conduct, including political, diplomatic, and military aspects. Kautilya's political thought is characterized by the structure and order since it focused on virtues such as security, justice, and prosperity for all. His *Mandala Theory* considering the international politics in terms of alliances and rivalry of states is still one of the primary texts in the field. It is the duties and responsibilities of the king and the ministers which form the bedrock of his philosophy where efficiency, answerability and co-ordination are given utmost importance. Kautilya's insights into the issue of governance and human conduct are relevant to contemporary political science and world affairs. In this paper, the author attempts not only to elucidate the Kautilya's state theory and its goals, and its functional principles, but also to establish its significance for today's politics and management.

Keywords: Kautilya, *Mahabharata*, *Mandala Theory*, Statecraft, Governance, Diplomacy, Political Philosophy

1. Introduction

Kautilya also known as Chanakya or Vishnugupta is one of the most famous personalities in the sphere of ancient India political thinking and practice. He was a chief advisor and a strategist of Chandragupta Maurya, the founder of Maurya Empire and helped in the formation of unified India as a political entity. Its his classic work, *Mahabharata*, a work that is considered one of the first and most extensive texts on polity, economics and warfare. Arising in the fourth century BCE, the *Mahabharata* is one of the earliest works that provided organised thinking and an action plan for government, and provided a viable framework to deal with the dynamics of political power and administrative management. It should be seen as more than just the guide to governance; it is a definitive work of the advanced civilization that was ancient India. Whereas the Mahabharata and *Dharma Shastras* are mainly based on the Spiritual or ethical systems of governance Kautilya work is more pragmatic, and many times realpolitik in approach. It outlines measures for the management of resources including revenues, relations with other nations, intelligence, judiciary systems and the roles of leaders in a bid to create a recipe for the formation of a highly centralized state.

Kautilya was an Indian thinker of the fourth century BCE, during a time of empire building, economic growth and interstate warfare in India. It was under his guidance that Maurya Empire was formed which is one of the greatest empires of India covering present Afghanistan in the west to Bengal in the east and Himalayas in the north to Deccan plateau in the south. As such, the need for strong and coordinated state structures and efficient governance architectures could no longer be ignored. Kautilya's work bore the stamp of earlier Indian school of political thinking that found its expression in the Dharmashastra and

the *Nitisara*, but more than the earlier thinkers, Kautilya was a practical man. He gave importance to power and diplomacy in statecraft and at times supported policies that were primarily focused on the power and welfare of the state than the moral or religious ethic. His work also captures a lot of aspects of human behaviour, the role of incentives, discipline, and even punishment in governance.

In the light of above discussed concept of the state, it can be said that in the ancient Indian polity the state was not merely an administrative organization but a moral and social organization, whose the main responsibility was to maintain the Dharma or law and order and to look after the well being of its people. Kautilya in his magnnum opus *Mahabharata* further expanded this vision by presenting a step by step plan on how to accomplish these goals through strategic thinking, economic planning and warfare. The *Mahabharata* being a work of the ancient world has not lost its relevance and one can see the principles highlighted in it being applied in today's world in the form of politics, strategy and diplomacy. Concepts like the "*Mandala Theory*" of inter-state relations, and the welfare state are quite relevant today as they were two thousand years back. Today's political scientists and strategists compare and contrast the concepts presented by Kautilya with Western political theories such as Machiavelli and Sun Tzu's works, thus proving the applicability of Kautilya's work. In this paper, an attempt has been made to examine Kautilya's concept of the state with specific reference to the origin, role and functioning. It examines his ideas on the obligations of kings, diplomacy and administration, and the way in which his ideas influenced political systems in ancient India and today.

2. The Origin and Purpose of the State

The Kautilya described the state as an institution that was not only defensive but also proactive in the sense that it was supposed to promote the welfare of its people, protect them from harm and ensure their well-being. He was realist in politics and had a comprehensive view of human and social behavior, society and government. In the eyes of Kautilya, the state was not a simple organ of administration but a strong structure and technique that controlled disorder.

Kautilya's other important idea was that of *matsya nyaya*, the 'law of the fish', which means that where there is no government, the big fish will eat the small fish. This concept, which is similar to the Hobbesian concept of the "state of nature," underlines Kautilya's opinion on the importance of a sovereign power in order to preserve order and equity. Kautilya said that the main reason for the establishment of the state was *matsya nyaya* prevention through the provision of good governance that protected the rights of every individual.

Key Objectives of the State: Kautilya identified four primary objectives that a state must achieve to fulfill its purpose effectively:

Security

The primary function of the state was to defend its people from attack from other states or from within. Kautilya laid importance on having a strong defense system, a good army force, secret service and strong fortresses. The ruler was mandated to defend the territorial jurisdiction of the state through being on the lookout and taking the first step. He also emphasised the need to have a permanent army which could embarks on wars to defend the kingdom and other times go on the offensive.

7

Prosperity

Economic stability and growth were very important to Kautilya as a part of the state machinery. He supported measures that included development of agriculture, commerce and industry. The *Mahabharata* provides tactics for agriculture, water control, taxation, and distribution to support the proper standard of living for all citizens. The first was that economic wellbeing was the basis for social wellbeing and political stability.

Justice

Kautilya said that justice was the base of policy. The state ensured the principle of dharma, law and order as well as protection of people from injustice and subjugation. Kautilya outlined proper courts which should be neutral and a clear code of laws and severe punishment for corruption and offense. He used to think that justice is the key to maintain the state and gain people's confidence.

Welfare

It was not just a state that only focused on the rule of law because Kautilya's state also aimed at the welfare of its people. He called for policies to address poverty, inequality and enhance the welfare of the deprived sections of the society. Welfare, education, health, and disaster management were considered to be the basic functions of the state. The ideas that Kautilya presented about the state are very much relevant to the contemporary thoughts of social justice and equal administration.

Saptanga Theory: Seven Pillars of the State

According to Kautilya, the state is made of seven limbs which are also referred to as the *Saptanga*. The seven pillars are:

1.   Swami (The King): The ruler is the head of the state and the one who has the authority to govern and looking into the well being of the state.

2.   Amatya (The Ministers): There are also a council of ministers, all of them capable and loyal, to help the king in governance and in making important decisions.

3.   Janapada (The Territory): The physical and economic tangible assets of the state such as land and people can be considered as the base.

4.   Durga (The Fort): Good fortifications are an indication of a state's power to protect itself from attacks from other states.

5.   Kosha (The Treasury): Moral, a well managed treasury must guarantee the economic stability of the state and its capacity to embark on welfare projects and military expedition.

6.   Danda (The Army): A strong and obedient army is the guardian for the nation and the exerciser of power.

7.   Mitra (Allies): Forming partnership with the other neighboring states increases the security and the diplomatic mileage of the state.

All the above stated elements were viewed to be complementary and compulsory for the growth as well as the existence of the state.

Unlike other ancient systems of governance, Kautilya's political philosophy had a welfare bent of mind. He said that power of the state is derived from the people and therefore the state should fulfill the need of the people. This brought not only the protection from with external and internal threats but also the efforts towards improvement of their well-being. Irrigation, roads, and granaries were constructed as public projects to promote the economy and prevent the damages of natural disasters. Furthermore, Kautilya's policies were broadminded because he catered for the entire population. He understood the need to bring into the fold of the state's economy and politics the excluded communities in order to foster stability and inclusion. In his thinking, Kautilya presented a rather sophisticated vision of the state for his time. Through stressing on security, prosperity, justice and welfare he created a solid and flexible foundation for a good governance system. His views on power, human behaviour and effectiveness of administration form an integral part of the political thinking of the contemporary world. In the present scenario Kautilya's vision may be seen as a caution against the abuse of power, monopoly of power, power blackmailed with justice, power blinded by pragmatism and power devoid of compassion.

3. *Mandala Theory*: The "Circle of Kings"

The theory of Mandala is the most significant strand of Kautilya's political thought which he outlined in the *Mahabharata*. It offers a complex conceptual model for comprehending diplomacy and interstate relations as well as strategy. The term "circle of kings" means the system of interstate relations, a kind of geopolitical environment of the given state, with a unclear and constantly changing structure of interconnections between the neighboring states. In short, the Theory of Realpolitik focuses on the realpolitik and the struggles that result from the battle for power in order to maintain and attain the dominion of a state.

At the time of Kautilya, India was a federation of hundreds of kingdoms and republics which entered into friendship or enmity, changed their alliances and fought with each other for supremacy. Chandragupta Maurya was the ruler of the Maurya Empire which aimed at extending its control over the subcontinent through a maze of territories. This required a understand diplomacy, warfare and strategies; all which can be explained by the *Mandala Theory*. Kautilya's theory resembles the classical thinkers like Sun Tzu where the focus is placed on the diplomacy, deception and coalition. Nevertheless, it has its origin in the socio-political environment of ancient India and focuses on the centralized power and the ever lurking external threats.

Some Elements of the *Mandala Theory*

The *Mandala Theory* divides states into different concentric circles depending on the level of their geographic and political relations. Each circle depicts the position and interaction of a state within the power structure of the primary kingdom, or vijigishu kingdom. Key elements include:

Circle of Kings

o   The immediate neighbor is often perceived as a natural adversary (*ari*), given competing interests over territory, resources, or influence.

o   The neighbor's neighbor, however, may act as an ally (*mitra*), as they share common interests in containing the adversary.

o   Neutral states (*madhyama*) may act as buffers or mediators, while distant states (*udāsina*) are less involved but may influence the broader strategic balance.

Types of States in the Mandala

o   *Ari (Enemy):* The immediate rival whose interests clash with the central state.

o   *Mitra (Ally):* A state that benefits from aligning with the central state to counterbalance shared adversaries.

o   *Madhyama (Mediator):* A neutral state capable of influencing peace or conflict between two adversaries.

o   *Udāsina (Neutral):* Distant states that are generally uninvolved but could become allies or adversaries based on shifting dynamics.

Strategic Diplomacy (Upayas)

Kautilya advocated for a multi-pronged approach to diplomacy, summarized in the four strategies of *sama*, *dana*, *bheda*, and *danda*:

o   *Sama (Conciliation):* Peaceful negotiation to align interests or resolve disputes.

o   *Dana (Gifts):* Offering economic incentives or material benefits to secure alliances.

o   *Bheda (Division):* Creating discord or weakening adversaries through subterfuge and espionage.

o   *Danda (Force):* Applying military power or the threat thereof to achieve objectives.

Types of Alliances

Kautilya identified several forms of alliances, emphasizing flexibility based on situational needs:

o   *Asandhi:* Temporary alliances formed for immediate objectives.

o   *Sankirna:* Mixed or multi-party alliances involving multiple states.

o   *Shadgunya:* The six-fold policy involving peace, war, neutrality, alliance, double policy, and preparing for war, allowing rulers to adapt their strategies dynamically.

Pragmatism in Diplomacy and Warfare

The *Mandala Theory* of Kautilya reveals how much the author understands the real politics. He submitted that states can only act based on their strength, their goals and the geopolitical environment. He stressed the politics of the possible – he warned against the consideration of moral or ethical concerns when it comes to the matter of state. For instance:

- Deceit as a Strategy: Kautilya advised rulers to employ deceit and spying to neutralise enemies. Espionage networks are crucial in the acquisition of information, dissemination of misinformation, and inciting political agitations in the target state.
- Flexibility in Alliances: Alliances were considered as being short term as opposed to the long term. A state might have an alliance with an enemy if it was in the best interest of the state.
- Focus on Long-term Gains: Temporary concessions or concessions in the interests of the state had to be made if they contributed to the achievement of the main goal – the growth of the state and its security.

The *Mandala Theory* of Kautilya is a clear evidence of the understanding Kautilya had on the science of geopolitics and people's behaviors. It provides a realistic guide to managing the challenges of interstate politics and power, as well as the challenges of building stability over the long term. Despite the fact that Kautilya's work is set in historical context of ancient India, its principles remain applicable nowadays, which is why his work is relevant to the contemporary world.

4. King and Minister Responsibilities

Kautilya in his *Mahabharata* describes king as the head of the state wherein king is said to be the supreme power holder who is supposed to look after the welfare of the state and its people. But this authority is not absolute; it is restrained by the principles of dharma (law), reason and responsibility. Kautilya also pays much attention to the ministers as great supporters of the king and the establishment of a good balance in the administration system.

Duties of the King

In the Kautilya's concept, the king is not only the master of the state, but also its servant who has to protect the interest of the society. He oversaw governance, justice, economic performance and management, and defense. As stated by Kautilya, the king who is capable is one that is wise, well disciplined and forward looking.

Governance

- The king must set an example of discipline, honesty, and adherence to *dharma* to inspire loyalty and respect among his subjects.
- He is expected to govern with an unwavering commitment to the welfare of his people, placing their needs above personal ambitions.
- The king must be accessible to his subjects, resolving grievances promptly and ensuring that all decisions are made transparently.

Justice

- Justice was considered a cornerstone of governance. The king was tasked with administering impartial justice to maintain societal harmony.
- Kautilya's legal framework included strict penalties for corruption, exploitation, and criminal behavior, emphasizing the protection of the weak and marginalized.
- The king was advised to delegate judicial responsibilities to impartial judges but remain the ultimate authority in matters of significant public interest.

Economic Management

- Kautilya emphasized the importance of a well-managed economy as the foundation of a strong state. The king was responsible for ensuring equitable taxation, fostering trade, and improving agricultural productivity.
- Land reforms, irrigation projects, and market regulation were key areas where the king was expected to intervene.
- The king also oversaw the treasury (*kosha*), ensuring that state resources were utilized efficiently for public welfare and defense.

Defense

- Protecting the state from external aggression and internal rebellion was among the king's foremost duties. Kautilya advocated for a well-trained and disciplined army as the backbone of the state's defense mechanism.
- The king was expected to personally oversee military preparedness, appoint capable generals, and strategize for war if necessary.
- Intelligence and espionage were emphasized as critical tools for maintaining national security and preempting threats.

Role of Ministers

Kautilya recognized that a king, no matter how capable, could not govern effectively without the support of a competent council of ministers. Ministers were seen as the king's closest advisors, tasked with executing policies, providing strategic counsel, and ensuring administrative efficiency.

Selection of Ministers

o Competence, loyalty, and ethical conduct were the primary criteria for selecting ministers. Kautilya stressed the importance of choosing individuals with expertise in specific domains, such as finance, defense, or diplomacy.

o Ministers were expected to act with integrity, prioritizing the state's welfare over personal gain.

o Kautilya also advocated for periodic assessments of ministers' performance to ensure accountability.

Duties of Ministers

o   Ministers played a vital role in policy formulation and implementation, serving as a bridge between the king and the bureaucracy.

o   They were responsible for maintaining law and order, managing state resources, and overseeing public works.

o   Ministers acted as the king's emissaries in diplomatic negotiations, ensuring the state's interests were safeguarded in inter-state relations.

Checks and Balances

o   Kautilya emphasized the need for a harmonious balance between the king's authority and the ministers' advice. While the king held ultimate decision-making power, ministers were expected to provide candid and honest counsel.

o   To prevent corruption and abuse of power, Kautilya proposed mechanisms for monitoring ministers and ensuring transparency in governance.

According to Kautilya, the king- minister relationship was one based on the key principles of trust and appreciation. The king sought guidance and implementation from his ministers, and the ministers were supposed to work accordingly to the king's policies for the state. This partnership helped to make the state work efficiently as well as to respond to the challenges that came up. The responsibility sharing, expertise and accountability which Kautilya favored in the management of Kingdom led to a governance system that combined autocratic power with collaborative management. This summed up the role of leadership and cooperation in arrowing the state's agenda of security, development, justice and welfare.

5. Conclusion

From the book *Mahabharata*, Kautilya has presented an extensive insight into the principles of governance, strategy, and political philosophy. His idea of the state was realistic, though rational, and included the need for a powerful authority, a proper economic system, potent defense system and most importantly justice and welfare. To further understand the role of the king in the society, the institution of the king was depicted as an extension of the people as the ruler and as their protector and shepherd. Ministers, who were considered as king's closest companions were crucial in matters of management and policy implementation. The *Mandala Theory* of Kautilya which is rich in its appreciation of international relations in terms of friendship and enmity, and power politics in particular, captures Kautilya's vision of international relations. His focus on flexibility, intelligence gathering and strategic planning in the long run can be said to be as strategic as the modern realist theories in the relations between nations. It is noteworthy that Kautilya's ideas are still popular among politicians, scientists, diplomats, and managers and inspire them up till now. As a result, his conclusions on the relationship between power and politics, on governance and human behaviour are universal, irrespective of time and space, revealing a roadmap to coping with the problems of leadership and state administration. Thus, Kautilya's approach of providing a pragmatic frame of reference while adhering to ethical standards of governance warns us of the oldest truths of statecraft that are relevant to this day.

References

Altekar, A. S. (1958). *State and government in ancient India*. Motilal Banarsidas.

Ghoshal, U. N. (1966). *A history of Hindu political theories*. Oxford University Press.

Jayaswal, K. P. (1967). *Hindu polity: A constitutional history of India in Hindu times*. Bangalore Printing & Publishing Co.

Kangle, R. P. (1965). *Kautilya Mahabharata* (Part III). Motilal Banarsidas.

Mehta, V. R. (1992). *Fundamentals of Indian political thought*. Manohar.

Spellman, J. W. (1964). *Political theory of ancient India: A study of kingship from the earliest time to circa AD 300*. Clarendon Press.

Varma, V. P. (1974). *Studies in Hindu political thought and its metaphysical foundations*. Motilal Banarsidas.

Varma, V. P. (1974). *Prachin evam madhyayugeen Bhartiya Rajnitik Chintan*. Motilal Banarsidas.

R.P. Kangle (1960). *The Kautiliya Mahabharata: 3 Volumes*. Motilal Banarsidass. (Comprehensive analysis of Kautilya's *Mahabharata*, covering statecraft and governance.)

Trautmann, T. R. (2012). *Does India Have History? Does History Have India?* Comparative Studies in Society and History, 54(1), 174-205.
DOI: Link
(Explores the historical context of Kautilya's state theory.)

Boesche, R. (2002). *Kautilya's Mahabharata on War and Diplomacy in Ancient India.* Journal of Military History, 67(1), 9–37.
DOI: Link
(Focus on diplomacy and statecraft in Kautilya's *Mahabharata*.)

Rangarajan, L. N. (1987). *Kautilya: The Mahabharata.* Penguin Books. (Modern commentary on Kautilya's governance model and state organization.)

Subrata K. Mitra (2016). *The Puzzle of India's Governance: Culture, Context and Comparative Theory.* Routledge. (Examines Kautilya's influence on governance structures in India.)

Ghoshal, U. N. (1966). *A History of Hindu Political Theories.* Oxford University Press. (Explores Kautilya's contribution to the theory of state and governance.)

Spellman, J. W. (1964). *Political Theory of Ancient India: A Study of Kingship from the Earliest Time to Circa AD 300.* Oxford: Clarendon Press. (Discusses Kautilya's theory of kingship and state functions.)

Kane, P. V. (1958). *History of Dharmashastra.* Government of India Press. (Relates Kautilya's state theory to Hindu law and social order.)

Sharma, R. S. (1995). *Aspects of Political Ideas and Institutions in Ancient India.* Motilal
   Banarsidass.
   (Delves into Kautilya's ideas on centralized state administration.)

Altekar, A. S. (1958). *State and Government in Ancient India.* Motilal Banarsidass.
   (Explores Kautilya's *Saptanga* theory and the structure of the state.)