Managing the flow of goods and services within globalized environments

Dr. Tarun Lata
Associate Professor, Department of Commerce
Dronacharaya Government College,Gurugram

Abstract

The challenges related to sustainability in the production, distribution, and consumption of goods and services are increasingly putting pressure on the credibility of corporations. Existing literature identifies three common strategies employed by corporations to address issues of legitimacy: conforming to external expectations, shaping stakeholder perceptions, or engaging in a dialogue with those questioning their legitimacy. This discussion explores three methods for determining the most suitable response strategy: the one-best-way approach, contingency approach, and paradox approach. The argument presented suggests that, when confronted with diverse environments and conflicting demands, corporations adopting a paradoxical approach are more likely to successfully maintain their legitimacy compared to those choosing one of the other two approaches. A theoretical framework is developed to apply these response strategies, and the management of paradoxes is explored through structural, contextual, or reflective means.
Keywords:
Goods and Services, Strategy, One-Best-Way Approach, Contingency Approach, Paradox Approach, Sustainability.

Introduction

Economic globalization has diverse and extensive effects on the environment and sustainable development through various channels. It contributes to economic growth, impacting the environment similarly to the effects of economic growth. These impacts can be negative at certain stages of development and positive at others. Globalization plays a role in accelerating structural changes, leading to modifications in the industrial structure of nations and, consequently, influencing resource utilization and pollution levels. The diffusion of capital and technology associated with globalization can either enhance or worsen the environment based on their environmental characteristics relative to existing capital and technology. Furthermore, globalization amplifies market failures and policy distortions, potentially spreading and worsening environmental harm. On the positive side, it can also create pressure for reform as internationally significant interest develops in policies traditionally seen as domestic. While globalization enhances global economic growth and overall output, there is a possibility that it might diminish economic prospects at the individual country, sector, and industry levels. This marginalization could lead to resource depletion and environmental degradation driven by poverty.This discussion explores three methods for determining the most suitable response strategy: the one-best-way approach, contingency approach, and paradox approach as mentioned below:

Best Way Approach

The one-best-way approach is a management philosophy that advocates for a singular, optimal method to accomplish tasks or achieve goals. It promotes the use of standardized procedures and best practices to maximize efficiency and reduce errors. While offering clarity and consistency, this approach may not be suitable for dynamic environments, as factors such as market changes and technological advancements may require flexibility. In contrast, management philosophies like the contingency approach recognize the need for adaptation based on specific situations, acknowledging that there is no universal solution for all circumstances. The paradox approach, too, embraces contradictions within organizations, managing them for positive outcomes and fostering adaptability.

Contingency Approach

The contingency approach to management posits that there is no universal solution for organizational management, emphasizing that the most effective way to manage depends on the unique circumstances of a specific situation. Key features of this approach include a thorough situational analysis, highlighting the importance of flexibility in management practices, customization to suit specific situations, integration with the external environment, and a rejection of the idea that there is a single "best" way to manage organizations. In essence, the contingency approach recognizes the complexity of organizational environments and advocates for adaptive, context-specific management solutions based on the demands of each situation.

Paradox approach

the paradox approach in organizational management embraces the existence of inherent contradictions within an organization, viewing them as a fundamental aspect of organizational life rather than problems to be solved. This approach involves actively managing and leveraging these contradictions to generate positive outcomes, recognizing that tensions can be natural and necessary for organizational success. Key characteristics include accepting contradictions, simultaneously pursuing opposing forces, establishing dynamic equilibrium, emphasizing strategic flexibility, fostering innovation and creativity, and requiring leadership and a culture that values both/and thinking. The paradox approach represents a shift from resolving contradictions to actively managing them, enhancing organizational resilience, adaptability, and creativity for strategic advantages.

What is globalization?

Globalization involves the exchange of information and resources between two or more countries to achieve economic, political, or cultural benefits, enhancing productivity and competitiveness. It gained momentum in the late 1990s as data and voice transmission technology integration facilitated job mobility and a shift towards more cost-effective sources. The increased demand for affordable technology labor, coupled with the availability of educated workers in former Soviet bloc countries after the Cold War, fueled this trend.

Strategically, outsourcing technology-based labor emerged as a solution to avoid the legal complexities linked to establishing joint ventures or subsidiaries in non-U.S. locations. Unlike traditional entry methods such as exporting, licensing, joint ventures, and direct investments, which often entail local ownership requirements, the free market-based outsourcing approach circumvents these constraints. Small and medium-sized businesses find particular advantages in outsourcing, as it eliminates many entry and exit barriers associated with conventional forms of globalization.

The swift transfer of work tasks to global workers enables businesses to reduce operating expenses and shed employment obligations typically borne by host businesses. U.S.-based firms outsourcing work internationally are relieved from the need to secure U.S. work visas or provide employee benefits, as these aspects are managed by global labor contracting agencies. The flexibility offered by globalization-enabling technology has diminished the reliance on importing STEM talent through visas, granting companies the ease of adding or removing workers under a

contracting agency. This operational model for a global workforce alleviates U.S.-based companies from various legalities and regulations linked to terminating or laying off direct employees under U.S.-based employment laws.
Globalization in the context of topic
Globalization serves not only as a means to access cost-effective resources but also as a driver for exploring new markets and reassessing established value chains. It presents opportunities to identify and rectify weaknesses, incorporate either traditional or disruptive approaches for enhanced value, and challenge prevailing norms. For large organizations pursuing growth, engaging in competition within emerging markets becomes imperative. Global gateways provide a means to tap into the lower strata of the world's economic pyramid, where both market growth and innovation can thrive. Tailoring simplified product offerings to niche markets can reduce costs and align with the purchasing power of the lower strata, resulting in increased sales in economies at the lower end.
Emerging markets play a vital role as sources of revenue, business models, and talent. Within this framework, globalization signifies the organizational and social systems that support innovative developments for the design of new products or services. Organizations often establish international divisions or partnerships to take advantage of lower material and labor costs and enhance distribution channels. Effective global innovation involves seizing opportunities, fostering connections, and challenging conventional thinking. Aligning global innovation efforts with local ownership can direct innovations to address fundamental local issues with broader global applications.
The introduction of new products aims to broaden product lines and enhance existing products or services. Innovation initiatives concentrate on integrating new or adapted technology into existing products, addressing customer needs, or expanding the product line. Quality-focused innovations, whether introducing novel features or reducing waste, have the potential to attract new customers and foster customer loyalty.
Innovation extends beyond simple creativity exercises; it necessitates research and development costs to generate practical products or services introduced to the market to capture new customers and drive sales. The organizational and social systems required for successful innovation encompass a diverse range of talents and skills.

Conflicts in Globally Based Work Forces
Outsourcing to developing nations, beyond reducing labor costs, can be used to lower tax burdens and bypass laws regulating pollution emissions and labor rights. Global organizations investing in small regions may become the sole source of employment, placing a burden on local governments and workers to conform to the needs of the sole employer. Local customs or traditions are rarely integrated into global work practices in such scenarios.

In resource-heavy operations like mining or oil extraction, resources are often not replenished or efficiently managed, leading to the depletion of the local economy once initial resources are exhausted, with no compensation exchanged. While globalization brings jobs to developing nations and widens access to goods, there is often a lack of provisions to support education and non-resource-dependent employment opportunities for sustainable local economies.
At its worst, wealth generated is not reinvested in the local economy, leading to the direct transfer of wealth to corporation owners and potential corruption of government officials. There is a lack of a sanctioned body empowered to police multinational corporations regarding labor

standards, environmental protections, and codes of conduct for global operations.

Friedman compares the post-1995 international globalization system to the power alignments of the Cold War era, highlighting the shift from politics to free-market forces driving globalization. The system is dynamic, led by free market forces seeking growth through deregulation, privatization, and free trade. The integration of defining technologies empowers nation-states to compete in the global market without restrictive global policies.

The alignment of free market economies with technology advances fosters innovation within the globalization system, serving as a gateway to higher productivity. Innovation and efficiency drive the dynamic cycle of continuous advancements, leading to the identification and fulfillment of future needs.

Impact of Globally Based Conflicts

A common critique of globalization centers on its perceived impact on the widening wealth gap between the rich and poor in early-stage development countries. Critics argue that this disparity poses a threat to the stability of governments, social values, and cultural norms in these nations. Despite concerns that education is not a global priority and low-cost labor may involve child labor, research suggests that in most developing countries, the rich have become richer, but the poor have not become poorer. Instead, the poor have experienced an increase in income, albeit at a slower rate than the wealthier population.

Global income distribution has seen significant growth, giving rise to a new world middle class, particularly in the developing economies of China and India. Although growth rates are unequal, the income of the poorest citizens in both large and small countries has expanded during economic expansions, moving toward levels aligned with the world's wealthiest individuals. However, a significant challenge to the economic expansions of developing countries lies in the potential negative impact of greed and corruption within corporations and governments.

Loss of jobs and skills in top of market

As workers become more distant from the creative aspects of the innovation process, their innovation skills tend to decline. Outsourcing specific tasks transforms them into commodities with predefined inputs, procedures, and endpoint delivery specifications. Interactions beyond status updates are discouraged, hindering innovations and improvements in work methods or outcomes. Success is solely measured based on funding, execution, and delivery metrics. The outsourcing process lacks feedback capacity for rethinking options, revising content, or adjusting targets.

This work-focused business model diminishes expectations and hampers the identification of new developments and opportunities in the market. Innovations in commoditized work decline, and options for this work become narrow, focusing on delivering outdated technology needs measured by today's metrics. The integration of delightful features and technological advancements becomes the responsibility of the commodity's producer or distributor, sidelining the mastery of outsourcing work as a career path at the parent company.

Key skills and work experience related to commodity-based tasks fade away, reducing the financial incentive for upgrading commodities and diminishing innovation opportunities. The contracted work environment experiences a decline in motivation for innovation. The lack of innovation focus at larger firms creates an opening for smaller firms to assume niche leadership, adapting products or services to address smaller, specialized needs. As innovations gain momentum, organizations are better positioned to enter larger marketplaces and generate new

markets based on disruptive technology.
A change in market leadership can attract government regulators, especially during turbulent economic times. Globalization may be perceived as intrusive into struggling economies, flooding the market with low-cost labor and extracting funds from local economies. Fears of negative impacts on local economies through free and fair trade agreements have led to a rejection of globalization practices as businesses strive to remain profitable locally, and governments grapple with economic and political stability.
Developing countries often have limited control over the choice of global corporations available for employment partnerships, particularly for higher-end skills such as engineering and R&D. Regions like China, India, and Eastern Europe have better negotiation positions regarding taxes, operational limits, and regulations. However, they may have fewer options when partnering with companies seeking manufacturing and assembly-based skilled labor.
Corporations, with limited entry and exit barriers for global manufacturing work, can demand exemptions from local governments. This includes waived corporate taxes, weakened regulations on emissions and labor practices, and negotiations over resource rights in resource-rich areas. The lack of a neutral watchdog organization increases the probability of worker and resource exploitation in the name of capitalism, often hidden within the complex global economic system.
Globalization-based work is often monotonous, paid based on output, and limited to repetitive execution of basic skills. Workers have few opportunities to innovate or participate in developing their work scope, leading to monotony, boredom, limited skill development, and burnout, particularly for low-skilled workers. Higher-skilled workers, with more options, experience higher turnover rates in global work centers compared to parent organizations that actively encourage innovation. Higher turnover generates higher operational costs, and corporations not rewarding innovation risk losing revenue, facing increased training costs, and losing potential intellectual property or trade secrets

Innovation opportunities in the global environment

Cost reductions

Relocating innovation work to regions with lower labor costs is a common strategy to reduce operating expenses. However, for large industrial businesses, the quality of work remains a priority over cost savings. The skills required for engineering and program management in support of large industrial technology are often high, essential for research and development to generate both evolutionary and disruptive technologies for future product generations.
Globalization savings are typically derived from lower labor costs or reduced cycle times. Outsourced innovation often involves hiring highly qualified experts at rates lower than those charged by domestic consultants in the United States for similar tasks. This cost reduction is achieved by outsourcing to countries with highly educated workforces and lower living costs for local workers and managers. While U.S.-based firms are known for developing innovations, they face high operating costs. U.S. universities are often disconnected from the strategic goals of private firms and are exposed only to limited segments of the government's technology objectives.
On a cost basis, U.S. firms actively pursue global outsourcing to rapidly advance problem-solving skills without relying on internal growth and training. Government interventions primarily address market failures, as seen in the U.S. government's bailout of the automotive industry in 2008-2009, which focused on saving domestic jobs rather than supporting innovation efforts for globally appealing products. In contrast, the European Union responds to the challenges of globalization by emphasizing research, innovation, and education, developing a

knowledge triangle to address the demands of knowledge-based societies.

Labor specialization

The advancements in digital tools, data storage, and transfer technologies have virtually eliminated geographical borders, making globalization a prominent means to access not only low-cost labor but also highly skilled workers at reduced rates compared to North America and Europe. Globalization often involves outsourcing to either the lower or higher ends of the labor market. Proponents argue that all nations can benefit from adapting to a free market economy, with lower-end labor markets gaining predictable work and highly educated nations attaching firms to the local economy.

While global skeptics point to issues such as poverty, insecurity, environmental degradation, and climate change as evidence of the exploitative nature of globalization, emerging nations see steady employment opportunities as a significant benefit. The search for emerging employment opportunities helps generate sustainable development, enabling workers to move into the emerging middle class.

Business innovations solely focused on identifying low-cost labor sources may offer short-term benefits, but maintaining a low-cost labor force without actively exploiting workers is challenging. Labor-saving innovations are crucial to remain competitive, as global providers with higher operational costs must decide whether to redirect experienced workers for higher-quality assignments or keep wages low.

Process innovations, continuous improvement, and the search for low-cost skilled and semi-skilled labor are ongoing tasks. As regional markets develop and labor costs rise, firms seek the next regional provider of low-cost labor. For high-end technology skills, certain nations like India, China, and Eastern European countries offer a pool of talent, providing skilled engineers and professionals for long and short-term projects.

Automation is an alternative form of innovation that may address labor shortages but does little to support local employment needs. Automation may be employed for specialty needs or hazardous environments, but its success in reducing operational costs is limited, often resulting in the relocation of bottlenecks within the manufacturing process.

Brand expansion

Many groundbreaking American firms in history have disproportionately emphasized innovation over marketing their innovative products. Examples, such as the Wright Brothers' aircraft developments, Xerox's Palo Alto Research Center computing innovations, and Polaroid's leadership in instant images, failed to capture commercial markets despite their technological advancements. These innovators, including Apple under Steve Jobs' leadership, often struggled to fully benefit financially from their technological leadership. Apple, known for introducing key computer features, has missed opportunities to dominate home user, educational, and personal digital assistant (PDA) markets.

In the business culture, innovation has been closely linked to growth, yet Apple has faced challenges in capitalizing on its computing innovation efforts. Despite a dedicated focus on innovation, Apple's integrator approach has led to a business model with high costs and risks. Steve Jobs' pursuit of building the perfect machine has resisted outsourcing and specializing in key technologies. Business-model innovations, as emphasized by Hamel, are seen to yield greater financial rewards than technical innovations in today's business environment.

The Challenges of Globalization

Utilizing a methods of contingency, paradox and best way method analysis, the complexities of globalization can be dissected into internal and external factors, offering insights essential for crafting a coherent and successful competitive stance (Alexander & Korine, 2008; Williamson, Cooke, Jenkins, & Moreton, 2003). The Breadth and Depth research informed the construction of a SWOT model, detailing the opportunities and challenges associated with innovating in the global context.

Strengths: Employing globalization in a firm's innovation strategy can be fortified by (a) expanding existing product lines, (b) establishing a robust branding foundation for new markets, (c) accessing capital to finance growth and invest in R&D, (d) aligning with vertical integration for diverse innovation opportunities along the supply chain, (e) accessing R&D databases for a broader innovation spectrum, (f) collaborating with education partners to foster a network supporting innovation, and (g) leveraging existing infrastructure to provide organizational support for innovation.

Weaknesses: The application of globalization to a firm's innovation approach may be hindered by (a) low cultural awareness leading to disruptive influences, (b) limited global presence/experience impeding access to capital, resources, or knowledge, (c) high dependency on communication technology, risking disruptions or leaks, (d) disconnected work environments causing duplication or missed opportunities, and (e) reduced opportunities for casual brainstorming if resources face connectivity challenges.

Opportunities: Globalizing a firm's innovation approach presents opportunities such as (a) gaining access to new global markets, (b) developing derivative products for niche markets, (c) accessing larger knowledge pools, (d) engaging with key suppliers, (e) exploiting competitors' vulnerabilities, (f) developing nonlinear business strategies for niche markets, and (g) accessing new technology and innovations from other markets.

Threats: Globalization in a firm's innovation approach may be threatened by (a) exposure to stronger global competitors with wider market ties, (b) navigating diverse political and regulatory landscapes favoring local firms or imposing bureaucratic hurdles, (c) facing intellectual property piracy, (d) complying with environmental sustainability regulations, (e) addressing regional social and cultural nuances, (f) contending with seasonality and weather effects, and (g) coping with fluctuations in market demand based on local preferences.

Innovative solutions in a global environment

Innovation, defined as the profitable implementation of ideas, plays a crucial role for large industrial businesses navigating the global business landscape. Creativity, the foundation for generating new ideas, is a universal characteristic found across diverse cultures. While cultures may differ in their approaches to applying creativity for innovation-based problem-solving, the fundamental importance remains (Altshuller, 2005; De Bono, 1992).

Large industrial businesses involved in manufacturing and selling equipment actively pursue innovations to generate revenue and capture new markets (Christensen & Horn, 2008). The approach to utilizing creativity for innovation varies among firms. Some firms leverage diverse in-house capabilities, spanning research and development (R&D), marketing, and production, to foster internal innovations. Others seek external assistance, engaging consulting agencies or subcontractors to contribute creative ideas and bring them to market. For instance, IDEO, a design and innovation consulting firm, collaborates with firms to identify opportunities and transform creative ideas into marketable innovations (Kelly, 2005).

Production-focused firms may enlist consulting agencies, such as IDEO, as creative resources, particularly if their primary focus is on manufacturing and marketing. On the other hand, design and market-oriented firms might rely on agents and subcontractors to produce innovative products based on their creative ideas. Nike, for example, invests heavily in turning creative ideas into innovations and collaborates with external companies for product fabrication and marketing campaign development (Peters, 2007).

Irrespective of the sources, the implementation of innovations serves to enhance profits either by developing premium products or by optimizing operational costs through more efficient production methods. This analysis delves into the activities of large industrial firms involved in developing, fabricating, and marketing innovations, examining how the global operating environment influences the innovation process.

In the global business landscape, large industrial enterprises must prioritize the development of innovative business practices as much as technological advancements. A successful global business model underscores the importance of efficiency, adaptability to new resources, expansion into diverse markets, and compliance with varying regulatory environments. While well-established organizations typically possess the resources to fund research and development, smaller firms encounter difficulties in rapidly expanding and safeguarding their intellectual property against larger competitors. Innovations in this context involve optimizing business practices and operational efficiencies while concurrently introducing new technologies.

Innovation in the context of this analysis

In the global business arena, companies often aim to streamline costs and enter untapped markets. Successful outsourcing of labor to economically advantageous regions may come with high operating costs during the setup process, both domestically and internationally. International operations also offer a chance to broaden existing market bases, but firms must tailor their product and service offerings to align with local preferences. Attempting to sell unmodified product lines risks missing out on valuable consumer feedback and connections. Effectively addressing the diverse needs of a global consumer base and adeptly managing cross-cultural workforces are pivotal factors that influence the success of products in the global marketplace.

This analysis explores the challenges confronted by large industrial businesses operating globally, particularly in the product and service sectors, as they navigate the intricacies of innovating and introducing new ideas.

Conclusion

The latest research on innovations emerging in the global arena delves into the business prospects for growth and the adverse effects that uncontrolled expansion can inflict on developing nations and workers integrated into the global economy. Merging innovation with globalization offers diverse opportunities to create inventive solutions beyond existing business frameworks.

Positive business prospects stem from cultivating an innovation culture crucial for growth, supported by a complementary ecosystem that fosters openness, externality, intercultural exchanges, and heightened engagement with virtual environments. However, beyond profit-driven companies, the convergence of innovation and globalization introduces various governmental and societal conflicts. Much of the criticism directed at globalization revolves around its social impact, as the blending of cultures is seen to openly challenge local values, potentially leading to the homogenization of secular values and norms.

Recent research indicates that globalization poses risks even for oppressive governments, as increased access to information can unveil the disparities in social and working conditions for exploited workers. Social advocates can leverage global information access to expose injustices and advocate for those with limited representation on the global stage, challenging exploitative leaders in both government and business. The imperative for innovation remains constant, prompting a continuous search for innovation opportunities in the global environment. This pursuit fosters paradigm shifts in execution, fostering increased team innovativeness that necessitates a cultural shift toward externality and openness.

References:

Kadadha M. The Driving Power in Consumer Purchasing (Doctoral dissertation, United States Sports Academy).
Kadadha, Mahmoud. The Driving Power in Consumer Purchasing. Diss. United States Sports Academy, 2021.
The age of empire. (2000). Retrieved from http://www.britannia.com/history/naremphist.html
Alexander, M., & Korine, H. (2008). When you shouldn’t go global. Harvard Business Review, 86(12), 70-77. doi:10.1225/R0812E
Altshuller, G. S. (1984). Creativity as an exact science (A. Williams, Trans.). Amsterdam, NL: Gordon and Breach.
Altshuller, G. S. (2005). The innovation algorithm. Worcester, MA: Technical Innovation Center.
Arnold, D. G., & Hartman, L. P. (2003). Moral imagination and the future of sweatshops. Business & Society Review, 108(4), 425-461. doi:10.1046/j.0045-3609.2003.00173.x
Baker, A. C. (2010). Catalytic conversations: Organizational communication and innovation. Armonk,
NY: M.E. Sharpe. Bettenhausen, K. L. (1991). Five years of groups research: What we have learned and what needs to be addressed. Journal of Management, 17(2), 345-381. doi:10.1177/014920639101700205
Bisagaard, S., & De Mast, J. (2007). After Six Sigma what's next? Retrieved from http://www.onesixsigma.com/onesixsigma/After-Six-Sigma-Whats-Next-01102006 Bowen,
D. E., & Inkpen, A. C. (2009). Exploring the role of “global mindset” in leading change in international contexts. Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 45(2), 239-260.
doi:10.1177/0021886309334149