Sampreshan
UGC CARE GROUP 1
https://sampreshan.info/
Vol. 17, Issue No. 2, June 2024
ISSN: 2347-2979

# INNOVATIONS AND COERCION: THE EVOLUTION OF OPIUM POPPY PRODUCTION IN COLONIAL INDIA

Vigya Tripathi
Ph.D. Research Scholar,
Central University of South Bihar, Gaya.
E-mail: vigyalsr@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT**

The British opium monopoly in colonial India underwent significant transformation through a series of strategic interventions aimed at enhancing production efficiency. Efforts spanned from seed selection to factory processing, with substantial investments in irrigation and cost-cutting measures. Despite the pervasive role of coercion, technological and managerial innovations were crucial. The monopoly faced challenges from other suppliers, the need for product uniformity in an export market, and the natural variability of opium. Continuous adaptation and innovation were necessary to sustain profitability. This paper studies measures such as hydraulic machinery, improved packaging, and financial incentives for innovation, highlighting the complex interplay between economic imperatives and operational challenges. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the British opium monopoly's economic and operational dynamics.

**Keywords:** British Opium Monopoly, Poppy Cultivation, Irrigation Infrastructure, Innovation, Coercion.

## Introduction

This essay provides a detailed analysis of various aspects of the British opium monopoly's supply chain, encompassing seed selection, quality control, and transportation. Numerous English literatures have examined this monopoly primarily concerning its interactions with the Indian peasantry and its role as the foundation for trade with China. These studies often outline the monopoly's structure rather than explore attempts to reform the production process. The opium monopoly served both as a commercially driven enterprise aimed at export and as a significant revenue source for the colonial government, which spurred innovation.

ISSN: 2347-2979

Additionally, the essay argues that tactics beyond coercion—such as investment in irrigation infrastructure and the forgiveness of unrecoverable debts—although they did not render the opium department a benevolent entity, should be considered when evaluating the long-term strategy for maintaining consistent output.

The British opium monopoly has been comprehensively understood in the context of its dynamic interplay between export trade and the peasant-state relationship. This dynamic suggests it operated as a largely stable system with only minor internal changes. B.B. Chaudhury argues that the cultivation of poppy in northern India should be understood within a 'debt-bondage' system, where peasants, having received advances for the crop, were legally compelled to grow poppy and faced penalties for non-compliance. The colonial authorities enforced production increases to meet the high demands of the international market. Although entering the contracts appeared voluntary, the economic coercion and legal obligations effectively stripped peasants of genuine choice and autonomy.[1] However, Bakhala has critiqued the emphasis on prices, arguing that factors such as coercion must be considered when analyzing the extent of cultivated land. The production cost estimates provided by the department support the argument of coercion. Sources indicate that, according to an agent's admission in the 1890s, cultivating tobacco and potatoes could yield three times the profit of opium for farmers after accounting for costs.[2] Rolf Bauer, in his examination of peasant opium production in nineteenth-century India, suggests that coercion and legal enforcement by local intermediaries were employed as mechanisms to boost production. Additionally, a system of incentives and commissions was used to entice middlemen to contract more land in Bihar for opium cultivation.[3] Sugata Bose, in his discussion on commercialization, argues that the production process of cash crops evolved under a capitalistic state that depended on mechanisms of oppression and enforcement to drive commercialization. During the colonial

[1] B. B. Chaudhury, *Growth of Commercial Agriculture in Bengal, 1757-1900,* (Calcutta: Quality Printers, 1964): pp. 35-36.
[2] Franklin Bakhala, *Indian Opium and Sino-Indian Trade Relations 1801-1858*, (School of Oriental and African Studies, (University of London), 1985): pp 122-23.
[3] Rolf Bauer, *The Peasant Production of Opium in Nineteenth-Century India*, (Leiden; Boston: Brill, 2019): p. 161.

ISSN: 2347-2979

period, the opium department adhered to these mechanisms to preserve their monopoly and monopsony control.[4]

**Challenges and Innovations in the Opium Economy**

The pressures on the opium monopoly were multifaceted. Primarily, they arose from the challenges associated with revenue and expenditure. Traditional sources of revenue remained static, with the introduction of new taxes proving difficult.[5] Opium emerged as the second most significant source of income, following land revenue. It served as the most efficient instrument for financing the tea trade with China and provided substantial profits to private English traders.[6] Throughout much of the nineteenth century, revenue from the opium trade accounted for approximately 15 percent of total income, peaking at 20 percent before gradually diminishing as alternative cash crops gained prominence.

The second challenge arose from increased competition with other opium suppliers and merchants dealing in alternative crops. In the 1820s, traders from Malwa and Turkey began to supply large quantities of opium at lower costs than those offered by the East India Company, posing a significant threat to the Company's monopoly.[7] In response, the production of Bengal (Patna and Benares) opium was ramped up, informed by commercial intelligence from private traders and monopoly agents in China, which detailed the growing demand for opium among Chinese consumers. Internally, the opium monopoly faced additional difficulties in the 1890s due to consecutive poor seasons, which exacerbated the struggle to secure contracts with cultivators. Reports from the department revealed increasing complaints about the inability to contract farmers, as sugar and indigo traders presented more attractive terms. Furthermore, the soil's fertility was diminishing due to the simultaneous cultivation of both indigo and poppy, compounding the challenge of maintaining high production levels of opium.[8]

[4] Sugata Bose, "Peasant Labour and Colonial Capital: Rural Bengal since 1770", in *The New Cambridge History of India* Vol. 3, no. 2 (Cambridge University Press, 1993): p. 38.
[5] Tirthankar Roy, *The Economic History of India, 1857-1947*, 3rd ed (Oxford University Press, 2011), pp. 256-257.
[6] Tan Chung, "The British-China-India Trade Triangle (1771-1840)," *The Indian Economic and Social History Review* 11, no. 4 (1974): pp. 411-431.
[7] Amar Farooqui, *Smuggling as Subversion: Colonialism, Indian Merchants, and the Politics of Opium, 1790-1843* (Lanham, Lexington Books, 2005): p. 19.
[8] Mangles, 30th November 1880, RAOD 1881, pp. 4-5.

ISSN: 2347-2979

The third significant factor is the level of coercion involved in enforcing opium cultivation. However, evidence of the application of opium laws is limited in the literature. Legal case tables from departmental reports are imperfect and likely underreport cases pursued against cultivators or obscure the use of non-legal enforcement methods. These cases indicate that agents deemed it necessary to document conviction rates for smuggling. For example, in 1880, Benares saw 766 out of 855 cases result in convictions for smuggling, with fines totalling 13,198 rupees.[9] The severity of these convictions is highlighted by a case where a defendant was sentenced to six months imprisonment and fined 50 rupees for possessing 9.5 seers of opium.[10] This illustrates the stringent measures taken to maintain control over the opium trade.

**Infrastructure Advances & Efficiency Improvements**

The Opium Department had a vested interest in stabilizing production, with providing capital for wells being a key strategy. Irrigation investment was primarily driven by the private sector, with public investment accounting for only forty-two percent and exhibiting significant geographical disparities. From 1860-61 to 1897-98, Bihar and Bengal received less than nine percent of the total irrigation investment.[11] However, the opium poppy required frequent watering, necessitating annual investments. These investments were generally tied to agreements for poppy cultivation and often carried strict legal repercussions. Occasionally, flexibility was demonstrated, such as during the poor seasons of the 1890s, when agents in Bihar reportedly advanced 49,535 rupees in loans, hoping that cultivators would take up poppy production while acknowledging that the water would benefit other crops.[12] The Opium Department also initiated construction projects to enhance irrigation facilities in sub-agencies. For instance, canals were tested, with one project in 1883-84 spending 3,945 rupees out of a budget of 10,000 rupees on canal shoots. However, these projects were costly and geographically limited.[13]

[9] Rivett-Carnac, 10th November/1st December 1891. ROAD 1892, p. 56.
[10] Ibid., p. 52.
[11] M. J. K. Thavaraj, "Regional Imbalances and Public Investment in India, 1860-1947", *Social Scientist*, Vol. 1, no. 4 (1972): pp. 4-5.
[12] Kemble, 30th November 1892, ROAD 1892, pp. 6-7.
[13] Kemble, 13th December 1884, Road 1885, pp. 8.

The distribution of advances and wells constructed exhibited considerable annual variation, contingent upon the demand for irrigation and the feasibility of well construction. The dataset from Bihar on the number of wells constructed is incomplete, highlighting the uneven distribution of irrigated land. *Kutcha* (earthen) wells, favored for their ease of construction, were more common despite being less durable than *pucca* (masonry) wells. In Bihar, twenty-six percent of the poppy fields north of the Ganges were irrigated, compared to ninety-two percent south of the Ganges.[14] Regions with lower proportions of irrigated land had the highest percentages of loan applicants. In Benares, the principal recipients of advances in 1884-85 were Ghazipur, Gorakhpur, and Aligarh.[15] Gorakhpur remained a major source of loan applicants, with 32.17 percent of its land still unirrigated in 1890-91. Furthermore, soil quality played a significant role, as the land north of the Ganges was deemed too alkaline, which compromised the well water and consequently the fields.[16]

Table 1. Number of Wells constructed in Bihar Agency from 1871-72 to 1881-82.

| Year | *Kutcha* Well | *Pucca* Well |
|---|---|---|
| **1871-72** | 693 | 195 |
| **1872-73** | 1,658 | 654 |
| **1873-74** | 18,627 | 1,159 |
| **1874-75** | 4,220 | 650 |
| **1875-76** | 13,464 | 596 |
| **1876-77** | 5,314 | 223 |
| **1877-78** | 12,522 | 217 |
| **1878-79** | 4,253 | 447 |
| **1879-80** | 2,647 | 30 |
| **1880-81** | 76 | 164 |
| **1881-82** | 3,624 | 237 |

Sources: Letters from the Opium Agents in Bihar from 1871-72 to 1881-82.

[14] Alexander, 6th January 1877, ROAD, p. 13.
[15] Samuells, 6th February 1886, ROAD 1886, p. 9.
[16] Rivett-Carnac, 10th November 1891, p. 58.

ISSN: 2347-2979

The demands of cultivating poppy provided a strong incentive for the Opium Department to facilitate irrigation. Recognizing the crucial role of water in poppy cultivation, the Department sought to make irrigation more accessible. However, rather than investing directly, the Department implemented this through loans, which imposed financial burdens on the cultivators. These loans required repayment, adding to the economic pressures faced by the farmers. In addition to well-construction, the Department tested other schemes to enhance cultivation, such as providing advances for manure to improve soil fertility. However, the advances for well-construction were deemed more practical and had a more substantial long-term impact. The effectiveness of well-advances was documented, demonstrating their utility in ensuring a consistent water supply, which was essential for the successful cultivation of poppy. Over time, the tangible benefits of these irrigation improvements became evident, reinforcing the preference for well-construction loans over other agricultural enhancements.

Another crucial aspect of the opium trade was quality control and branding. These elements were instrumental in determining the market success of the product. Innovations were either adopted or rejected based on their cost-effectiveness and their ability to maintain the established qualities of the opium. Ensuring consistency in the product's characteristics was vital, as any deviation could significantly impact its market value. The ideal opium-moisture ratios were 70:30 for Patna opium and 77:23 for Benares opium. Maintaining these specific ratios was essential because any variation could alter the flavor, aroma, and color of the opium cakes.[17] These sensory qualities were critical to the product's acceptance and preference in the market. For instance, if the moisture content was too high or too low, it could affect the opium's texture and shelf life, leading to a decrease in its desirability among buyers. The stringent quality control measures ensured that the opium produced met the high standards expected by the market, thereby preserving the product's reputation and demand. This focus on quality also meant that the branding of opium from different regions, such as Patna and Benares, was associated with certain expected characteristics, further influencing consumer preferences and market dynamics.

This emphasis on quality was reflected in the pricing system. The standard price referenced throughout the documents—typically 5 rupees after 1860—was offered for the highest quality

[17] Richards, “Peasant Production”, p. 70.

ISSN: 2347-2979

opium, with a system to account for varying qualities.[18] To achieve higher-quality opium, the simplest method was to increase production. However, the distribution of quality varied annually and between districts. Initial quality control relied on hand tests, where employees judged the consistency and detected contaminants. While this method was less precise than chemical tests, it was cost-effective and depended on the employees' skills. This manual inspection method had its limitations, as individual accountability was difficult if substandard opium passed through the initial checks. Payments were often already made, complicating the enforcement of penalties. Chemical tests, such as iodine tests, were introduced in the 1850s and became the most commonly used method for detecting contaminants like starch, flour, gum, and mucilage.[19] Despite their effectiveness, these tests were more costly and labor-intensive than manual inspections. Morphometry, cited for its accuracy in measuring morphine content, was used minimally due to its high cost and laborious process. The pricing and quality control systems underscore the complexities of maintaining consistent product standards while managing costs and efficiency. This balance was crucial for the opium trade, ensuring that high-quality opium fetched premium prices while minimizing the financial and logistical burdens of thorough quality testing.[20]

Efforts to reduce wastage in the opium factory were ongoing, with packaging and storage presenting both challenges and potential improvements. However, the perceived risks associated with changes often limit their implementation. Traditionally, opium cakes were wrapped in opium leaves for shipping. This practice is believed to preserve the flavor and provide minimal protection against pests and fungal issues such as yellow and black moulds. In the Benares factory, significant losses were recorded: 613 cakes were severely damaged and 2,122 cakes were slightly damaged in 1877-78; 660 and 1,382 cakes in 1878-79; and 308 and 757 cakes in 1879-80, rendering them unsellable.[21] The preference for this packaging method is evident from various sources throughout the nineteenth century. For example, private companies like Jardine Matheson were consulted before implementing any changes.[22]

[18] *The Opium Manual Part IV: Tables for the use of the Benares Opium Agency*, Calcutta: Bengal Secretariat Press, 1891, pp. 2-21.
[19] W. C. B. Eatwell, *On the Poppy Cultivation and the Benares Opium Agency*, (Calcutta: W. Palmer, Military Orphan Press, 1851), pp. 17-18.
[20] Ibid., p. 17.
[21] Durant, 29th November 1880, ROAD 1882, p. 82.
[22] Bakhala, Indian Opium, p. 134.

Experiments with cheaper woods like teak for chest packaging raised concerns about imparting a scent that could be mistaken for adulteration. By the 1890s, even more robust alternatives like skins and tins were considered too risky despite their potential durability. One successful innovation was the varnishing of cakes with oil, which helped preserve the product. Overall, however, changes to packaging aimed at reducing wastage were limited due to the high perceived risks of altering traditional methods. This cautious approach highlights the balance between maintaining established quality standards and adopting new techniques to minimize losses.[23]

Within the factory, several changes were successfully implemented. For example, using better-quality cloth covers for pots prevented sand from contaminating the opium, and this practice was adopted in the following years. The problem of jars breaking was common, and various solutions were tried over the years, including leather bags and wooden boxes in 1831 and galvanized iron vessels in 1878, all of which failed. However, in 1896-97, Shahabad district found success with cloth bags. These bags prevented opium from sticking to the sides of jars, reduced spillage when jars broke, and could be moistened for easy removal. This method was then tested in seven other districts to collect more data.[24] Additionally, when annual production fell short, it spurred further experimentation. One successful trial involved diluting crude opium with a high opium-moisture ratio using water. This process, conducted over two years, resulted in opium with a suitable taste and aroma.

The department viewed the variability of opium output in terms of quality and quantity as a biological problem that needed resolution. Various vulnerabilities affected production, including extreme temperatures, fungal infections ('blight'), insects, hail, excess water, drought, soil quality, and insufficient labor.[25] Part of this issue was addressed through contracts requiring cultivators to dedicate a specific amount of land to poppy cultivation. Experiments with local seeds in different soils, seeds from other districts or Malwa, and foreign seeds were conducted on a small scale across provinces to assess relative output. An 1877 report by the curator of the Botanical Gardens illustrates a more scientific approach: seventeen varieties of opium seeds were tested in different soil and water conditions in experimental garden plots, with daily

[23] Rivett-Carnac, 19th January 1876, ROAD 1876, p. 58.
[24] Grierson, 30th November 1897, ROAD 1898, p. 48.
[25] Farooqui, Smuggling as Subversion, pp. 79-80.

ISSN: 2347-2979

measurements of temperature and weather. Challenges faced by cultivators in purchasing manure led to further experiments with alternatives such as saltpetre, oil cakes, and lime, with their outputs closely monitored. A fertilizer called *shorah* was found to improve yield by 5.45 seers per bigha. Despite its potential benefits, excessive use of *shorah* damaged the soil, limiting its large-scale application. Overall, the department actively supported research and trials to enhance production figures.[26]

Enhancing efficiency was an ongoing objective in the opium factories, where investments from agents and internal innovations played a key role. At the Sudder Factory, cost-cutting measures included reducing the workforce, ranging from administrative staff to daily laborers, using sawmills instead of manual labor, and replacing traditional practices of giving by-products to workers with selling them (e.g., wood chips and sawdust). In 1877, expenses were lowered from 23,143 rupees to 16,535 rupees by dismissing three European employees (saving 800 rupees), eliminating an additional labor supervisor, and reducing labor for carrying jars. Similarly, the Patna Factory, which had higher operational costs (33,514 rupees in 1889-90), managed to cut expenses to 22,425 rupees in 1890-91 and further to 19,384 rupees in 1891-92.[27]

A variety of measures were implemented to achieve these cost reductions, with mechanization playing a pivotal role. One particular machine, which cost 500 rupees, reduced the daily use of files from eleven to three, resulting in a documented savings of 100 rupees per day on files and labor.[28] This upgrading of facilities continued with the acquisition of hydraulic machinery to facilitate the creation of opium cakes for internal sales. In addition to mechanization, incentives were introduced to foster innovation among factory workers. Monetary rewards were offered to individuals who developed innovative solutions. For instance, Girling, an engineer at the Patna factory, was awarded 1,000 rupees for constructing machines that drilled holes into planks for chest construction and for cutting wood to create internal compartments.[29]

**Conclusion**

[26] John Scott, *Experimental Culture of the Opium Poppy for the Season 1876-77.* Calcutta: Bengal Secretariat Press, 1877) p. 7.
[27] Durant, 8th November 1877, p. 47.
[28] Durant, 25th November 1873, ROAD 1874, p. 30.
[29] Forbes, 8th March 1881, p. 6.

This essay has aimed to contribute to the discourse on opium poppy production in India by detailing the top-down changes in the supply chain, spanning from seed selection and irrigation to processing within the opium factories. The department undertook numerous initiatives to enhance opium production, including commissioning research into seed selection, investing in irrigation infrastructure, and implementing cost-cutting measures within the factories. These strategies, while significant, do not negate the role of coercion in the trade's development. Rather, they indicate that other facets of the production process, such as technological and managerial innovations, also played crucial roles and are worthy of detailed examination. The drive for change was propelled by two primary pressures: the need to sustain opium as a reliable revenue source in the face of escalating costs, and the export-oriented nature of the monopoly, which necessitated a relatively uniform product despite the natural variability and susceptibility to spoilage. These pressures underscored the fact that maintaining a monopoly was insufficient to avoid the necessity for continuous adaptation and innovation.

The efforts to refine the production process of opium poppies in India illustrate a complex interplay between economic imperatives and practical challenges. The department's multifaceted approach, combining scientific research, infrastructural investment, and operational efficiency, reflects a broader strategy aimed at sustaining the profitability and reliability of the opium trade. This analysis highlights that while coercive measures were undeniably part of the production landscape, the evolution of the opium economy was also significantly shaped by a range of strategic and innovative interventions.

**Bibliography**

1. **Primary Sources:**

A. C. Mangles, Opium Agent of Behar, to The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Calcutta, 30th November 1880. India Office Record (IOR)/V/24/3120, NAI.

A. Forbes, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to The Secretary to the Government of Bengal, 8th March 1881. IOR/V/24/3120, NAI.

C. A. Samuells, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to The Secretary to the Government of Bengal, 6th February 1886. IOR/V/24/3121, NAI.

G. A. Grierson, Opium Agent of Behar, to The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Lower Provinces, 30th November 1897. IOR/V/24/3123, NAI.

H. W. Alexander, Opium Agent of Bihar, to The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Lower Provinces, 6th January 1877. IOR/V/24/3119, NAI.

J. J. Durant, Principal Opium Assistant Behar, to The Opium Agent, Behar, 8th November 1877. IOR/V/24/3120, NAI.

J. J. Durant, Principal Opium Assistant Behar, to The Opium Agent, Behar, 25th November 1873. IOR/V/24/3119, NAI.

Rivett-Carnac, Opium Agent of Benares, to The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Lower Provinces, 10th November/ 1st December 1891. IOR/V/24/3122, NAI.

Rivett-Carnac, Opium Agent of Benares, to The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Lower Provinces, 19th January 1876. IOR/V/24/3119, NAI.

W. Kemble, Opium Agent of Behar, to The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Calcutta, 13th December 1884. IOR/V/24/3121, NAI.

W. Kemble, Opium Agent of Behar, to The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Calcutta, 30th November 1892. IOR/V/24/3122, NAI.

The Opium Manual Part IV: Tables for the use of the Benares Opium Agency, Calcutta: Bengal Secretariat Press, 1891, British Library: IOR/V/27/625/17.

ISSN: 2347-2979

**2. Secondary Sources**

Amar Farooqui, *Smuggling as Subversion: Colonialism, Indian Merchants, and the Politics of Opium, 1790-1843*. (Lanham, Md: Lexington Books, 2005).

Benoy Bhushan Chaudhury, *Growth of Commercial Agriculture in Bengal, 1757-1900.* (Calcutta: Quality Press, 1964).

Franklin Bakhala, *Indian Opium and Sino-Indian Trade Relations 1801-1858.* (School of Oriental and African Studies: University of London, 1985).

J. F. Richards, "The Indian Empire and Peasant Production of Opium in the Nineteenth Century", *Modern Asian Studies*, Vol. 15, No. 1 (1981): pp. 52-82.

J. F. Richards, "The Opium Industry in British India", *the Indian Economic and Social History Review*, Vol. 39, No. 2 & 3 (2002): pp. 149-180.

M. J. K. Thavaraj, "Regional Imbalances and Public Investment in India, 1860-1947", *Social Scientist,* Vol. 1, No. 4 (1972): pp. 3-24.

Rolf Bauer, *The Peasant Production of Opium in Nineteenth-Century India*. (Leiden; Boston: Brill, 2019).

Sugata Bose, "Peasant Labour and Colonial Capital: Rural Bengal since 1770", In *The New Cambridge History of India, Vol. III, Part 2*. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983).

Tan Chung, "The Britain-China-India Trade Triangle (1771-1840)", *The Economic and Social History Review,* Vol. 11, No. 4 (1974): pp. 411-431.

Tirthankar Roy, *The Economic History of India, 1857-1847.* 3rd ed. (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2011).

W. C. B. Eatwell, *On the Poppy Cultivation and the Benares Opium Agency*. (Calcutta: Military Orphan Press, 1851).